

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		*		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,081	07/30/2001	Joseph K. Mosis	JKM-101	9106
7590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER		
Joseph K. Mosis			PHILLIPS, CHARLES E	
1125 Crescen	Dr.			
Brentwood, CA 94513			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3751	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/917,081	MOSIS, JOSEPH K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles E. Phillips	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:						

Application/Control Number: 09/917,081

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins.

See col. 6, lines 18-43 where a tee or y-type fitting is taught upstream of a pressure regulator 52 via a check valve and a third hose so that the pressure regulator may be connected to both the water tank 42 and an external pressurized water source. The valve here allows an external source of supply or an internal source. Lacking here is routing the external source through the pump. To do so would have been considered an obvious matter of choice in design depending merely on the pressure at the external source i.e. if this pressure is sufficient for the needs, no pump operation is necessary. This is clearly the case here in that Watkins routes his external source through a pressure regulator 52. There simply is no need to employ the pump when the pressure is sufficient.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins as applied to claim 9 above, and further in view of Maddux.

Maddux teaches a portable sink 40 having a water heater 80 receiving water via a T 220 from a pump 112.

It would have been obvious to provide Watkins with this perfecting scheme as same is shown to be known in an identical art device.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,081

Art Unit: 3751

Page 3

It would have been obvious to provide Watkins with this perfecting scheme as same is shown to be known in an identical art device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number (571) 272-4893.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner